

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/051,326 01/18/2002 Nis-Georg Nissen 20740-243534 7952 25764 7590 08/29/2003 **FAEGRE & BENSON LLP** EXAMINER 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M 90 SOUTH 7TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3611

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/051,326	NISSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne Marie M Boehler	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			•
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration:			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No I Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 3611

1. Claims 4-6 and 10-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 4-6, line 1, "the intervention" lacks clear antecedent basis in the claim.

In line 2, "takes place behind" is unclear.

In claims 11-15, the parentheses should be removed.

In claim 16. "the circumferential component" lacks clear antecedent basis.

In claims 17-24, "the desired slip" lacks clear antecedent basis.

In claims 25 and 26, line 1, "the axial speed component" lacks clear antecedent basis.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-15, and 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krenzin in view of Ando.

Krenzin shows an industrial truck with a driven and steered wheel 22 and two non-driven wheels 26. It also includes a traction control system whereby wheel slip is determined by comparison of a speed calculated for the driving wheel and a traveling speed determined for the industrial truck. The traveling speed is determined from the rotational speed of the non-driven wheels. The truck has an electric motor.

Krezin lack means for reducing drive torque in response to detected slip.

Ando describes a traction control system including a means for reducing torque to at least one drive wheel in response to determines slip, as is conventional in traction control systems.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reduce drive torque in response to determined slip, as is old and well known, as is taught by Ando, in order to reduce excessive drive slip. It would also have been obvious to use a three-phase ac motor, for the unspecified electric motor of Krenzin, in order to provide an appropriate drive source.

- 4. Claims 4-6, 16, and 24-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Adler teaches a traction control system for a hydraulically driven vehicle.

Elgas describes a drive control system for an industrial truck.

Radev discloses a drive control system for an electric vehicle.

Ander describes a traction control system.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M Boehler whose telephone number is 703-308-0422. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,326 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113. 6/25/55

Anne Marie M Boehler Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611

amb